Positron Annihilation Spectroscopy on Defects in Semiconductors

R. Krause-Rehberg

Aalto University

Universität Halle, Inst. für Physik



ICDS 2015

28th International Conference on Defects in Semiconductors, July 27 – 31, 2015

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

- Some historical remarks
- Techniques of Positron Annihilation
- Study of Defects in Semiconductors
- User-dedicated Positron Facilities

Discovery of the Positron

- Positron was predicted in 1928 by Paul A.M. Dirac
- Discovery in 1932 in cloud chamber pictures by C.D. Anderson



C.D. Anderson

- Positronium as bound state of eand e+ lightest atom was predicted (1934) and discovered (1951)
- Annihilation in matter was studied beginning in the 40^s
- Positrons can be obtained by
 - pair production from gamma radiation ($E_{\gamma} > 1022 \text{ keV}$)
 - β⁺ decay from isotopes (mostly ²²Na)





- first Identification of a positron in a cloud chamber
- 5 mm lead plate
- photo taken by C.D. Anderson



Electron structure of solids can be discovered

- during annihilation: conservation laws must be fulfilled (energy, momentum)
- positron cools down to thermal energies ->
- energy of annihilating electron-positron pair = energy of electron
- electron momentum distribution can directly be measured

MARCH 1 AND 15, 1942 PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 61

The Angular Distribution of Positron Annihilation Radiation

ROBERT BERINGER* AND C. G. MONTGOMERY Sloane Physics Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut (Received January 7, 1942)



FIG. 1. Schematic arrangement of counters for observing coincidences from annihilation radiation.





Martin-Luther-Universität Halle

2D – ACAR (Angular Correlation of Annihilation Radiation)

- now: two-dimensional (position-sensitive) detectors
- measurement of single crystals in different directions:
- reconstruction of Fermi surface possible





2D-ACAR of Copper





Positrons are sensitive for Crystal Lattice Defects

- 1950...1960: in addition to ACAR -> different experimental techniques were developed
- Positron lifetime spectroscopy and Doppler broadening spectroscopy
- end of 60s: lifetime is sensitive to lattice imperfections
 - Brandt et al. (1968): vacancies in ionic crystals
 - Dekhtyar et al. (1969): plastically deformed semiconductors
 - MacKenzie et al. (1967): vacancies in thermal equilibrium in metals
- Positrons are localized (trapped) by openvolume defects



FIG. 1. Positron mean lifetimes in several metals as a function of temperature.



Vacancies in thermal Equilibrium



The positron lifetime spectroscopy

²²Na



- positron wave-function can be localized in the attractive potential of a defect
- annihilation parameters change in the localized state
- e.g. positron lifetime increases in a vacancy
- lifetime is measured as time difference between appearance of 1.27 (start) and 0.51 MeV (stop) quanta
- defect identification and quantification possible





Sensitivity limits of PAS for vacancy detection

- lower sensitivity limit e.g. for negatively charged divacancies in Si starts at about 10¹⁵ cm⁻³
- upper limit: saturated positron trapping
- defect identification still possible
- Then: only lower limit for defect density can be given





- in a metal: charge of a vacancy is effectively screened by free electrons
- they are not available in semiconductors
- thus, long-range Coulomb potential added
- positrons may be attracted or repelled
- trapping coefficient μ is function of charge state



Digital positron lifetime measurement



- simple setup
- timing very accurate
- each detector for start & stop (double statistics)



Screenshot of two digitized anode pulses

Fullscale: 1 Ottset: 0.45 results in 1240.0	76_12_69001_vimax+0_56375_dvr_253_650
ruiscale. 1, Oliset. 0.45, lesuits ili [240.5	770,-12.0029], yillax. 0.50575, dy255.059
zorolino: 0.0420933	
zeroline. 0.0420855	
	Minimum at: 41.4432
Fraction Point: -0.127199	
	Fraction Point AC: 243605
Minimum: -0.522192	
Mining OBEELDE	
Fullscale: 1. Offset: 0.45. results in 1312.4	41116.4427U vmak: 0.434844. dv: -328.854
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	411,-16.4427], ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	411,-16.4427], ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	$\frac{111,-16.4427}{\text{ymak}}$ ymak: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2,65471 samples = 663,67 ps
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	$\begin{array}{c} \text{411,-16.4427J ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854} \\ & \qquad \qquad$
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	411,-16.44271 ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 \longrightarrow time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	411,-16.44271 ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 \longrightarrow time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	411,-16.44271 ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 \longrightarrow time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4	411,-16.44271 ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 \longrightarrow time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167	411,-16.44271 ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 \longrightarrow time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167	$411,-16.44271 \text{ ymax: } 0.434844, \text{ dy: } -328.854$ $\longrightarrow \qquad \qquad$
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	$411,-16.44271 \text{ ymax: } 0.434844, \text{ dy: } -328.854$ $\longrightarrow \qquad \text{time difference} = 2.65471 \text{ samples} = 663.67 \text{ ps}$ $\boxed{\text{Minimum at: } 43.9005}$
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	411,-16.4427J ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9005 Fraction Point AV: 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	411,-16.4427], ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9005 Fraction Point At: 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	411,-16.4427], ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9605 Fraction Point At: 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	411,-16.4427], ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9005 Fraction Point At: 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	411,-16.4427], ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9005 Fraction Point At: 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	411,-16.4427] ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9005 Fraction Point At 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488	411,-16.4427]; ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9005 Fraction Point At: 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488 Minimum: -0.395635	411,-16.4427]; ymak: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9865 Fraction Point At: 40.0176
Fullscale: 1, Offset: 0.45, results in [312.4 zeroline: 0.0379167 Fraction Point: -0.0921488 Minimum: -0.395635	411,-16.4427], ymax: 0.434844, dy: -328.854 time difference = 2.65471 samples = 663.67 ps Minimum at: 43.9805 Fraction Point At 40.0176





Positron lifetime spectroscopy



- positron lifetime spectra consist of exponential decay components
- positron trapping in open-volume defects leads to long-lived components
- longer lifetime due to lower electron density
- analysis by non-linear fitting: lifetimes τ_i and intensities \mathbf{I}_i

positron lifetime spectrum:

$$N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{I_i}{\tau_i} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right)$$

trapping coefficient

$$\kappa_{\rm d} = \mu C_{\rm d} = \frac{I_2}{I_1} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\rm b}} - \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm d}} \right)$$

trapping rate

defect concentration



Doppler Broadening Spectroscopy



Measurement of Doppler Broadening



- electron momentum in propagation direction of 511 keV γ-ray leads to Doppler broadening of annihilation line
- can be detected by conventional energy-dispersive Ge detectors and standard electronics



Line Shape Parameters



S parameter:

$$S = A_S / A_0$$

W parameter:

$$W = A_W / A_0$$

W parameter mainly determined by annihilations of core electrons (chemical information)



Doppler Coincidence Spectroscopy



- coincident detection
 of second annihilation
 γ reduces background
- use of a second Ge detector improves energy resolution of system



Doppler Coincidence Spectra



 $E_1 + E_2 = 2 m_0 c^2 = 1022 keV$



Doppler-Coincidence-Spectroscopy in GaAs

- Chemical sensitivity due to electrons at high momentum (core electrons)
- a single impurity atom aside a vacancy is detectable
- examples: V_{Ga}-Te_{As} in GaAs:Te



J. Gebauer et al., Phys. Rev. B 60 (1999) 1464



Moderation of Positrons

Mean implantation depth of un-moderated positrons from a 22-Na source (1/e) in Si: 50μ m





Moderation of Positrons



moderation efficiency: $\approx 10^{-4}$



The Positron Beam System at Halle University



- spot diameter: 4 mm
- time per single Doppler measurement: 20 min
- time per depth scan: 8 hours
- no lifetime measurements





Defects in Si induced by Ion Implantation

- ion implantation is most important doping technique in planar technology
- main problem: generation of defects \Rightarrow positron beam measurements



Point defects determine properties of materials



- Point defects are generated by crystal growth, irradiation, by plastic deformation, by diffusion, ...
- Metals in high radiation environment -> formation of voids -> embrittlement



Defects in electron-irradiated Ge

- Electron irradiation (2 MeV @ 4K) induces Frenkel pairs (vacancy interstitial pairs)
- steep annealing stage at 200 K
- at high irradiation dose: divacancies are formed (thermally more stable)



Martin-Luther-Universität Halle

GaAs: annealing under defined As-partial pressure

- Equilibrium Phase Diagram of GaAs two-zone-furnace: Control of 1300 sample temperature and As Melt liquidus line partial pressure allows • T_{As}: determines As-partial 1200 GaAs pressure GaAs + Ga(As) navigate freely in phase diagram O₀ 1100 -(existence area of compound) 9 \vdash 12 18 bar 0. $p_{\Sigma As} =$ 6 solidus line 160 mm 10 mm 1000 T_{F} GaAs Metallic GaAs, + As(Ga), Samples Arsenide 900 Temperature 0.50000 0.50004 0.50008 0.50012 X_{As} T_{sample}: 1100° C
 - Jurisch, Wenzl; 2002



GaAs: Annealing under defined As pressure





Comparison of doped and undoped GaAs





Bondarenko et al., 2003



Martin-Luther-Universität Halle

EL2 in GaAs: important Antisite Defect

- interesting feature: EL2 exhibits metastability
- illumination at low temperature → properties changes (e.g. no IR absorption any more)
- many structural models were discussed
- Dabrowski/Scheffler and Chadi/Chang: EL2 is isolated As_{Ga} and in metastable state the antisite atom moves outward and leaves a V_{Ga}
- Metastability is lost during warming-up to 115 K



EL2 in GaAs: important antisite Defect

- before annihilation, diffusing positrons can be trapped by such defects
- as a consequence: positron lifetime increases due to the reduced electron density in the vacancy
- experiment shows the existence of a Ga vacancy in the metastable state of GaAs, which does not exist in stable ground state
- was prove of As_{Ga} model of EL2



R. Krause et al.: **Observation of a monovacancy in the metastable state of the EL2 defect in GaAs by positron annihilation** Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (26), 3329-32 (1990).

DX Center in GaAlSb

- defect appears in doped quasi-ternary III-V compound semiconductors (e.g. Al_xGa_{1-x}As, $Al_{x}Ga_{1-x}Sb$)
- is complex: donor-? (so-called DX center)
- also shows metastable state at low temperatures
- model of Dabrowski/Scheffler predicted vacancy in stable state and the disappearance of this vacancy in metastable state
- also proved by positron annihilation







R. Krause-Rehberg et al., Phys. Rev. B 48 (1993) 11723

Compensating Defects in GaAs:Si

- Si is also often used as donor in GaAs
- Si is built-in as Si_{Ga}⁺ and also as Si_{As}⁻ (amphoteric behavior)
- degree of compensation not constant, but growing with Si content
- result: doping only possible up to 10¹⁹ cm⁻³
- at higher Si content: almost complete auto-compensation
- model for additional compensating center (acceptor): V_{Ga}Si_{Ga}⁻



The dopant activation, expressed as the ratio of free carrier and Si concentrations (\mathbf{V}) , the concentration of negative ions (\mathbf{A}), and the concentration of Ga vacancies (\mathbf{O}) all as a function of Si concentration in four Si-doped GaAs samples.

Identification of V_{Ga}-Si_{Ga}-Complexes in GaAs:Si



Gebauer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 3334

Vacancy clusters in semiconductors

- vacancy clusters were observed after neutron irradiation, ion implantation and plastic deformation
- due to large open volume (low electron density) -> positron lifetime increases distinctly

Vacancy clustering

- example: plastically deformed Ge
- lifetime: τ = 525 ps
- reason for void formation: jog dragging mechanism
- trapping rate of voids disappears during annealing experiment

Jog



Krause-Rehberg et al., 1993



Screw

dislocation
Theoretical calculation of vacancy clusters in Si



- there are cluster configurations with a large energy gain
- "Magic Numbers" with 6, 10 und 14 vacancies
- positron lifetime increases distinctly with cluster size
- for n > 10 saturation effect, i.e. size cannot be determined

T.E.M. Staab et al., Physica B 273-274 (1999) 501-504



Defect studies of GaN

• as-grown GaN (FCM GmbH) - 2012

Unterschied zwischen den 3 Proben ist die Wachstumstemperatur:

- 100387: 1050°C,
- 100430: 980°C sowie
- 100431: 950°C.





Observation of Native Ga Vacancies in GaN by Positron Annihilation

 K. Saarinen,¹ T. Laine,¹ S. Kuisma,¹ J. Nissilä,¹ P. Hautojärvi,¹ L. Dobrzynski,² J. M. Baranowski,³ K. Pakula,³
R. Stepniewski,³ M. Wojdak,³ A. Wysmolek,³ T. Suski,⁴ M. Leszczynski,⁴ I. Grzegory,⁴ and S. Porowski⁴
¹Laboratory of Physics, Helsinki University of Technology, 02150 Espoo, Finland
²Institute of Physics, Warsaw University Branch, Lipowa 41, 15-424 Bialystok, Poland and Soltan Institute of Nuclear Studies, 05-400 Otwock-Swierk, Poland
³Institute of Experimental Physics, University of Warsaw, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland
⁴UNIPRESS, High Pressure Research Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, 01-142 Warsaw, Poland





TEMPERATURE (K)

FIG. 1. The average positron lifetime $\tau_{\rm av}$ and the lifetime component τ_2 vs measurement temperature GaN bulk crystal. The lifetime component τ_2 could be decomposed only at T > 200 K. The solid lines are drawn to guide the eye.



FIG. 2. The low electron-momentum parameter S vs measurement temperature in various GaN samples. The carrier concentrations of the GaN layers at 300 K are indicated in the figure. The solid lines are fits to the temperature dependent positron trapping model (Ref. [14]).



Gallium vacancies and the growth stoichiometry of GaN studied by positron annihilation spectroscopy

K. Saarinen,^{a)} P. Seppälä, J. Oila, P. Hautojärvi, and C. Corbel Laboratory of Physics, Helsinki University of Technology, P.O. Box 1100, 02015 HUT, Finland

O. Briot and R. L. Aulombard

Université Montpellier II, Groupe d'Etudes des Semiconducters, CC074, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France



FIG. 1. The low electron-momentum parameter S as a function of the positron implantation energy in three GaN samples. The top axis shows the mean stopping depth corresponding to the positron implantation energy.



FIG. 3. The concentration of Ga vacancies vs the V/III molar ratio in undoped GaN samples. The straight line is drawn to emphasize the correlation.



The influence of Mg doping on the formation of Ga vacancies and negative ions in GaN bulk crystals

K. Saarinen,^{a)} J. Nissilä, and P. Hautojärvi

Laboratory of Physics, Helsinki University of Technology, FIN-02015 HUT, Finland

J. Likonen

Technical Research Centre of Finland, Chemical Technology, FIN-02044 VTT, Finland

T. Suski, I. Grzegory, B. Lucznik, and S. Porowski

UNIPRESS, High Pressure Research Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, 01-142 Warsaw, Poland



FIG. 2. Average positron lifetime vs measurement temperature in GaN bulk crystals. The solid lines correspond to the analyses with the temperature-dependent positron trapping model, where concentrations of Ga vacancies and negative ions (Table I) are determined as fitting parameters.

In conclusion, the positron experiments show the presence of Ga vacancies and negative ions in GaN crystals. The concentration of Ga vacancies decreases with increasing Mg doping, in good agreement with the trends expected for the V_{Ga} formation energy as a function of the Fermi level. The concentration of negative ions increases with Mg doping and correlates with the Mg concentration determined by SIMS. We thus associate the negative ions with Mg_{Ga}⁻. The negative charge of Mg suggests that the loss of *n*-type conductivity in the Mg doping of GaN crystals is mainly due to compensation of O_N⁺ donors by Mg_{Ga}⁻ acceptors.





Physica B 308-310 (2001) 77-80



www.elsevier.com/locate/physb

Ga vacancies in electron irradiated GaN: introduction, stability and temperature dependence of positron trapping

K. Saarinen^{a,*}, T. Suski^b, I. Grzegory^b, D.C. Look^c

^a Laboratory of Physics, Helsinki University of Technology, P.O. Box 1100, 02150 HUT, Finland ^b UNIPRESS, High Pressure Research Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, 01-142 Warsaw, Poland ^c Semiconductor Research Center, Wright State University, Dayton, OH, USA



Fig. 3. The concentration of Ga vacancies as a function of 2 MeV electron irradiation fluence at 300 K. The solid line corresponds to the introduction rate of $\Sigma_{V} = 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [3].



Fig. 1. Average positron lifetime as a function of annealing temperature $T_{\rm ann}$ in two GaN samples irradiated to fluences Φ . The measurement temperature was $T_{\rm meas}$ = 300 K. The dashed line shows the level of the average positron lifetime in as-grown samples before irradiation [3].



Ga vacancies as dominant intrinsic acceptors in GaN grown by hydride vapor phase epitaxy

J. Oila, J. Kivioja, V. Ranki, and K. Saarinen^{a)}

Laboratory of Physics, Helsinki University of Technology, P.O. Box 1100, FIN-02015 HUT, Finland

D. C. Look

Semiconductor Research Center, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio

R. J. Molnar

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Lincoln Laboratory, Lexington, Massachusetts 02420-9108

S. S. Park, S. K. Lee, and J. Y. Han Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 111, Suwon, Korea 440-600

Mean implantation depth (µm) 0 0.09 0.26 0.500.79 1.13 HVPE GaN 0.50 Mg-doped ref. △ 10-14 µm ⊽ 1µum ▼ 36-39 µm ▲ 5 μm O 49-68 μm 0.49 S parameter Ga vacancy 0.480.42 0.46 Defect-free 0.45 0 5 10 15 20 25 Positron energy (keV)

FIG. 2. The low electron-momentum parameter S as a function of the positron implantation energy. The dashed lines show the values of S parameter in defect-free GaN and at the Ga vacancy. The top axis indicates the mean stopping depth corresponding to the positron implantation energy.



FIG. 3. The concentration of Ga vacancies as a function of the thickness of the GaN layers on sapphire.

In summary, our positron annihilation experiments show that Ga vacancies are the dominant acceptors *n*-type GaN grown by hydride vapor phase epitaxy on sapphire. The concentration of Ga vacancies decreases from almost 10^{20} cm⁻³ to less than 10^{16} cm⁻³ when the thickness of the GaN layers increases from 1 to more than 100 μ m. Furthermore, the Ga vacancy concentration is equal to the total acceptor density determined by temperature-dependent Hall experiments. The depth profile of Ga vacancies is similar to that of O, suggesting that the Ga vacancies formed during the growth are bound to defect complexes with the oxygen impurities.



Direct evidence of impurity decoration of Ga vacancies in GaN from positron annihilation spectroscopy

S. Hautakangas, I. Makkonen, V. Ranki, M. J. Puska, and K. Saarinen* Laboratory of Physics, Helsinki University of Technology, P.O.Box 1100, FIN-02150 Espoo, Finland

> X. Xu ATMI Inc., 7 Commerce Drive, Danbury, Connecticut 06810, USA

D. C. Look Semiconductor Research Center, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio 45435, USA (Received 27 February 2006; published 3 May 2006)

FIG. 1. The average positron lifetime as a function of measuring temperature. The first number in the parentheses stands for O concentration and the second one indicates the free carrier concentration.

FIG. 2. Gallium vacancy concentration as a function of doping. The solid line is a guide to the eye. The results in the sample with the lowest O concentration have been taken from Ref. 18.

FIG. 4. Measured and calculated momentum distribution curves for different types of samples and defects, respectively. All these curves are shown as ratios to the data obtained in the GaN lattice.

We identify the isolated V_{Ga} in undoped electron irradiated GaN, and show that in O-doped HVPE GaN the Ga vacancy is complexed with the O atom forming V_{Ga} -O_N-pairs. In MOCVD material our data show that the Ga vacancy is likely to be decorated by both oxygen and hydrogen.

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle

Vacancy-type defects in Er-doped GaN studied by a monoenergetic positron beam

A. Uedono,^{1,a)} C. Shaoqiang,¹ S. Jongwon,¹ K. Ito,¹ H. Nakamori,¹ N. Honda,¹ S. Tomita,¹ K. Akimoto,¹ H. Kudo,¹ and S. Ishibashi²

¹Institute of Applied Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8573, Japan ²Research Institute for Computational Sciences, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8568, Japan

0.51 100 сIJ 0.50 (x10¹ 0.49 Log (PL Intensity) S parameter S 0.10 0.48 1.5 0.5 1 2 0.47 0.46 0.45 0.44 0 2 3 5 6 7 Er concentration (at.%)

FIG. 1. (Color online) S as a function of incident positron energy E for Er-doped GaN with [Er]=0.3-6.0 at. %. The result for undoped GaN is also shown. The S values at $E \le 14$ keV correspond to the annihilation of positrons in the GaN layers. The solid curves are fits to the experimental data. The derived depth distributions of S are shown in Fig. 3.

FIG. 2. S values corresponding to the annihilation of positrons in Er-doped GaN and the integrated intensities of a 551 nm PL line as a function of the Er concentration, where the PL intensity is shown in a logarithmic scale. The inset shows the concentration of Ga vacancies (V_{Ga}) derived from the positron trapping model.

Microstructural evolution in H ion induced splitting of freestanding GaN

O. Moutanabbir,^{1,a)} R. Scholz,¹ S. Senz,¹ U. Gösele,¹ M. Chicoine,² F. Schiettekatte,² F. Süßkraut,³ and R. Krause-Rehberg³

¹Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, Weinberg 2, D 06120 Halle, Germany

²Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, Succursale Centre Ville, Montréal,

Québec, H3T 1J4, Canada

³Department of Physics, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Friedemann-Bach-Platz 6, D 06108 Halle, Germany

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) XTEM image of damage induced in GaN by H ion implantation at 50 keV with a fluence of 2.6×10^{17} atom/cm². (b) S parameter depth profile measured before (triangles) and after (squares) H implantation. (c) H concentration/10²² cm⁻³ depth profile (circles) and implantation damage profile (line) as deduced from ERD and ion channeling, respectively. (d) X-ray $\theta/2\theta$ scans of (0002) GaN before and after H implantation.

FIG. 2. (Color online) RBS/C yields as a function of annealing temperature for GaN substrates implanted with H at 2.6×10^{17} atom/cm². Inset: Evolution of dechanneling factor Fdech, as a function of temperature. The corresponding morphologies, as determined by XTEM, are also indicated.

FIG. 3. XTEM micrographs of H-implanted GaN annealed at different temperatures: 450 $^{\circ}$ C (a), 500 $^{\circ}$ C (b), and 600 $^{\circ}$ C (c).

Depth [nm] 0 20 107 246 424 637 400 Positron lifetime [ps] 350 300 250 200 150 8 10 12 14 16 18 0 2 4 6 Positron Energy [keV]

FIG. 3. (Color online) Thermoevolution of the normalized *S* parameter of H-implanted GaN samples as a function of incident positron energy. For comparison, the spectrum recorded from bulk GaN is also shown.

FIG. 4. (Color online) The evolution of the average positron lifetime as a function of the positron energy for the virgin, asimplanted, and annealed GaN samples. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 3.

FIG. 2. XTEM image of H-implanted GaN substrate implanted under the conditions described in Fig. 1 and annealed at 600 °C for 5 min.

New Journal of Physics **13** (2011) 013029 1367-2630/11/013029+12\$33.00

Figure 1. SIMS depth profiles of GaN sample after Cu diffusion induced by annealing for 96 h at 873 K. Note that surface peaks are artifacts of the SIMS measurements.

Figure 4. Average positron lifetime of Cu-diffused fs-GaN samples after the isochronal annealing. The spectra were measured at a sample temperature of 333 K.

Cu diffusion-induced vacancy-like defects in freestanding GaN

M Elsayed^{1,6}, R Krause-Rehberg¹, O Moutanabbir^{2,6}, W Anwand³, S Richter⁴ and C Hagendorf⁵

¹ Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, von-Danckelmann-Platz 3, Halle (Saale) 06120, Germany

Figure 6. Normalized Doppler broadening parameters as a function of the incident positron energy measured for the virgin and Cu-diffused GaN samples annealed up to 550 K. The low momentum parameter *S* is shown in the lower panel and *W* in the upper panel. The positron mean penetration depth is shown in the top axis. The inset of the lower panel displays the difference in *S*

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle

o-Positronium Lifetime allows Porosimetry

- In materials without free electrons Positronium may be formed (Polymers, glass, liquids, gases)
- p-Ps annihilates without interaction with host material
- o-Ps lifetime in vacuum 142 ns
- in matter: positron may pick off another electron with opposite spin -> fast annihilation with two gammas

Pick-off Annihilation

pick-off annihilation:

- o-Ps is converted to p-Ps by capturing an electron with anti-parallel spin
- happens during collisions at walls of pore
- lifetime decreases rapidly
- lifetime is function of pore size 1.5 ns to 142 ns

positrons form Ps

o-Ps lifetime τ_4 versus pore size in CPG Glass

pore size D = 4V/S (nm) from porosimetry

S.Thränert, Dissertation, MLU Halle 2008

• we measured porous CPG glass in a broad pore size range

- given pore size obtained by N₂-adsorption and/or mercury intrusion technique
- for T=300 K fair agreement to the RTE model

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle

User-dedicated intense Positron Sources in Germany

- Two intense positron sources available (positrons by pair production)
- NEPOMUC (NEutron induced POsitron Source MUniCh) at FRM-II
 - PLEPS (monoenergetic positron lifetime system)
 - PAES (Positron-induced Auger Electron Spectroscopy)
 - CDBS (Coincidence Doppler Broadening Spectroscopy)
 - SCM (Scanning Positron Microscope)
 - user beam line
- EPOS (ELBE Positron Source) at Helmholtz Center Dresden-Rossendorf
 - MePS (Mono-energetic Positron Spectroscopy)
 - GiPS (Gamma-induced Positron Spectroscopy)
 - CoPS (conventional setup using 22Na sources)
- at both sites: web-based application system for beam time

W. Triftshäuser et al., NIM B **130** (1997) 265

Lateral Resolution with Scanning Positron Microscope

- lateral resolution 1...2 μm
- Positron lifetime spectroscopy
- lateral resolution principally limited by positron diffusion

(L₊≈ 100nm)

Microhardness indentation in GaAs

 Comparison of SEM and Munich Positron Scanning Microscope

- problem here at the moment: intensity
- in future: adaption to NEPOMUC at FRM-II

Defects in high-energy self-implanted Si: The Rp/2 effect

- after high-energy (3.5 MeV) self-implantation of Si (5x10¹⁵ cm⁻²) and RTA annealing (900°C, 30s): two new gettering zones appear at Rp and Rp/2 (Rp = projected range of Si⁺)
- visible by SIMS profiling after intentional Cu contamination

- at R_p: gettering by interstitial-type dislocation loops (formed by excess interstitials during RTA)
- no defects visible by TEM at Rp/2
- What type are these defects?

Investigation of the Rp/2 effect by conventional VEPAS

- the defect layers are expected in a depth of 1.7 mm and 2.8 mm corresponding to E⁺ = 18 and 25 keV
- implantation profile too broad to discriminate between the two zones
- simulation of S(E) curve gives the same result for assumed blue and yellow defect profile (solid line in upper panel)
- furthermore: small effect only
- no conclusions about origin of $R_p/2$ effect possible

Enhanced depth resolution by using the Positron Microscope

First defect depth profile using Positron Microscopy

- 45 lifetime spectra: scan along wedge
- separation of 11 μ m between two measurements corresponds to depth difference of 155 nm ($\alpha = 0.81^{\circ}$)
- beam energy of 8 keV: mean penetration depth is about 400 nm; represents optimum depth resolution
- no further improvement possible due to positron diffusion: $L_+(Si @ 300K) \gg 230 \text{ nm}$
- both regions well visible:
 - vacancy clusters with increasing density down to 2 μ m (R_p/2 region)
 - in R_p region: lifetime $\tau_2 = 330$ ps; corresponds to open volume of a divacancy; must be stabilized or being part of interstitial-type dislocation loops
- excellent agreement with gettered Cu profile

R. Krause-Rehberg et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 77 (2000) 3932

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle

EPOS = **ELBE Positron Source**

- ELBE -> electron LINAC (40 MeV and up to 40 kW) in HZDR Research Center Dresden-Rossendorf
- EPOS -> collaboration of Univ. Halle with HZDR
- EPOS will be the combination of a positron lifetime spectrometer, Doppler coincidence, and AMOC
- User-dedicated facility
- main features:
 - high-intensity bunched positron beam ($E_{+} = 0.5...30$ keV)
 - very good time resolution by using the unique primary time structure of ELBE
 - digital multi-detector array
 - fully remote control via internet by user

Ground plan of the ELBE hall

- 1: Diagnosestation, IR-Imaging und biologische IR Experimente
- 2: Femtosekundenlaser, THz-Spektroskopie, IR Pump-Probe Experimente
- 3: Zeitaufgelöste Halbleiter-Spektroskopie, THz-Spektroskopie

- 4: FTIR, biologische IR Experimente
- 5: Nahfeld und Pump-Probe IR Experimente
- 6: Radiochemie und Summenfrequenz-Erzeugung, photothermische Spektroskopie

Beam time in September 2011 First successful application: low-k Layers

- low-k dielectric layers shall replace SiO₂ as isolation in CPU's
- higher speed possible because τ =RC decreases
- high-quality spectra already without chopper

Bremsstrahlung Gamma Source of ELBE (FZ Dresden-Rossendorf)

- Pulsed gamma source using superconductive Linac ELBE
 - repetition frequency 26 MHz (or smaller by factor 2ⁿ) in CW mode!
 - bunch length < 5 ps
 - up to 20 MeV (we used 16 MeV), no activation of samples by γ -n processes was found
 - average electron current 1 mA = 20 kW beam power; electron beam dump outside lab
 - thus gamma background at target position is very low (Ge detectors with 100% efficiency)
- Ideal for GiPS ! Is now part of EPOS project user dedicated positron source.

GiPS: Gamma-induced Positron Spectroscopy

- 3 coincident setups were used: 2 AMOC and 1 CDBS spectrometer
- only coincident detection ensures high spectra quality

The GiPS setup includes 8 Detectors (4 Ge and 4 BaF₂)

Example: Water at RT

• total count rate in spectrum: $12x10^6$

• Black spectrum: conventional measurement by Kotera et al., Phys. Lett. A 345, (2005) 184

Conclusions

- Positrons are a unique tool
 - for characterization of vacancy-type defects in crystalline solids
 - for embedded nano-particles (e.g. small precipitates)
 - for porosimetry (0.2 ... 50 nm)
- New facilities become available for user-dedicated operation having
 - better time resolution and spectra quality
 - much higher intensity
 - microscope @ FRM-II: lateral resolution 1 μm

This presentation can be found as pdf-file on our Website: http://positron.physik.uni-halle.de

reinhard.krause-rehberg@physik.uni-halle.de

Thank you for your attention!

http://positron.physik.uni-halle.de